inorganic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Xiao-Xuan Liu,^a Cheng-Xin Wang,^a Shu-Ming Luo^a and Jin-Xiao Mi^{a,b}*

^aXiamen University, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Xiamen 361005, Fujian Province, People's Republic of China, and ^bKey Laboratory of Nonferrous Materials and Processing Technology, (Guilin University of Technology), Ministry of Education, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jxmi@xmu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Powder X-ray study T = 295 KMean σ (P–O) = 0.010 Å R factor = 0.047 wR factor = 0.061 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

The layered monodiphosphate $Li_9Ga_3(P_2O_7)_3(PO_4)_2$ refined from X-ray powder data

Nonalithium trigallium(III) tris[pyrophosphate(V)] diphosphate(V), Li₉Ga₃(P₂O₇)₃(PO₄)₂, has been synthesized by a hydrothermal method and its crystal structure solved from X-ray powder diffraction data using Rietveld analysis. The structure is based on separate layers parallel to (001), consisting of GaO₆ octahedra that share corners with PO₄ tetrahedra and P₂O₇ groups. The lithium ions are located in the interstitial space.

Comment

The title compound, together with the three analogues $Li_9Fe_3(P_2O_7)_3(PO_4)_2$, $Li_9Cr_3(P_2O_7)_3(PO_4)_2$ and Li_9Al_3 - $(P_2O_7)_3(PO_4)_2$, was first synthesized by Poisson *et al.* (1998). Its crystal structure has not yet been reported, although the structures of the iron and aluminium analogues are available. The structure has now been refined by the Rietveld method from powder diffraction data.

The observed, calculated and intensities difference plots of the Rietveld refinement are shown in Fig. 1, and the structure of the compound is illustrated in Fig. 2.

Li₉Ga₃(P₂O₇)₃(PO₄)₂ has a two-dimensional layered structure. The layers, which are parallel to (001) and are separated by lithium ions, consist of GaO₆ octahedra that share corners with PO₄ tetrahedra and P₂O₇ groups. The GaO₆ octahedron shares two contiguous O4 with a diphosphate group P2₂O₇. It is also connected to two other P2₂O₇ groups by sharing a single O5. Each of the two spare O atoms of the GaO₆ octahedron is shared with a P1O₄ tetrahedron. The GaO₆ octahedron, together with PO₄ tetrahedra and P₂O₇ groups, form an infinite layered structure which is parallel to the *ab* plane. Channels,



Figure 1

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved A comparison of observed (top crosses) and calculated (top solid line) intensity profiles for $Li_9Ga_3(P_2O_7)_3(PO_4)_2$; intensity differences (bottom solid line) and allowed Bragg reflections (tick marks) are also shown.

Received 22 March 2006 Accepted 5 April 2006



Figure 2

Three views of the crystal structure of Li₉Ga₃(P₂O₇)₃(PO₄)₂.

which result from the way the layers stack along the c axis, contain the Li1 sites. Other lithium ions are located between two layers.

The gallium ion, which occupies the 6f position, together with six O atoms, forms a GaO₆ octahedron. P1 and P2 are on the 4d special positions and 12g general positions, respectively. Two $P2O_4$ tetrahedra form a $P2_2O_7$ pyrophosphate ion by sharing an O2 atom. The lithium ions are located on three sites; Li1 and Li2 are on the 2b and 4d special positions, and Li3 is on a 12g general position. In the Li1O₆ trigonal antiprism, Li1 is coordinated by six O6 atoms; Li2 is coordinated by one O1 and three O4, and the Li2O₄ tetrahedron exhibits a threefold internal symmetry; Li3 is coordinated by a tetrahedron which is distorted.

Experimental

 $Li_9Ga_3(P_2O_7)_3(PO_4)_2$ was synthesized under hydrothermal conditions. The reaction was carried out with mixtures of Li2HPO4 and GaCl₃ (0.23 g gallium metal dissolved in 2.5 ml 37% HCl) in a Li:Ga:P molar ratio of 1:20:10. The container was about 50% full of solution. The autoclave was placed in an oven with subsequent heating at 493 K for 7 d. All starting materials were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

Crystal data

Li₉Ga₃(P₂O₇)₃(PO₄)₂ $M_{\rm w} = 983.39$ Trigonal, P3c1 a = 9.72879 (13) Å c = 13.5827 (3) Å V = 1113.36 (3) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

PANalytical X'pert PRO diffractometer Specimen mounting: packed powder Specimen mounted in reflection mode

 $D_x = 2.933 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu $K\alpha_1$ and Cu $K\alpha_2$ radiation T = 295 (2) K Specimen shape: flat sheet $10\,\times\,10\,\times\,0.1$ mm Particle morphology: laminar, white

Scan method: continuous $2\theta_{\min} = 5.0, \, 2\theta_{\max} = 100.0$ Increment in $2\theta = 0.008^{\circ}$

Refinement

$R_{\rm p} = 0.047$	770 reflections
$R_{wp} = 0.061$	65 parameters
$R_{\rm exp} = 0.049$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.02$
S = 1.24	Preferred orientation correction:
Profile function: CW Profile	March–Dollase (March (1932)
function number 3 with 19 terms.	and Dollase (1986) AXIS 1
Pseudo-Voigt profile coefficients	Ratio = 0.73101 , $h = k = 0$, $l = 1$.
as parameterized by Thompson et	Prefered orientation correction
al. (1987). Asymmetry correction	range: Min = 0.82553, Max =
of Finger et al. (1994).	1.27264

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å).

P1-01	1.535 (17)	Ga1-O5 ⁱⁱ	2.016 (8)
P1-O3	1.535 (7)	Li1-O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.492 (6)
P2-O2	1.565 (5)	Li2-O1 ^{iv}	1.97 (6)
P2-O4	1.500 (8)	Li2-O4	2.076 (15)
P2-O5	1.492 (9)	Li3-O3 ^v	2.106 (27)
$P2-O6^{i}$	1.509 (9)	Li3-O5	2.051 (28)
Ga1-O3	1.990 (8)	Li3–O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.974 (24)
Ga1-O4	2.001 (7)	Li3-O6	1.857 (26)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii) -y + 1, x - y, z; (iii) x, y - 1, z - 1; (iv) $y - x + 1, y, z + \frac{1}{2}; (v) y - x + 1, y, z - \frac{1}{2};$

Data collection: X'pert Data collector (PANalytical, 2003); cell refinement: GSAS (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000) and EXPGUI (Toby, 2001): data reduction: GSAS: method used to solve structure: atomic coordinates of the isotypic iron compound Li₀Fe₂- $(P_2O_7)_3(PO_4)_2$ (Poisson *et al.*, 1998) used as starting parameters; program(s) used to refine structure: GSAS and EXPGUI; molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: enCIFer (Allen et al., 2004).

This project was supported by funds from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 40472027), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. E0410003) and the project sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM in China.

References

- Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335-338.
- Brandenburg, K. (2004). DIAMOND. Version 3.0. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Dollase, W. A. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst. 19, 267-272.
- Finger, L. W., Cox, D. E. & Jephcoat, A. P. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 892-900. Larson, A. C. & Von Dreele, R. B. (2000). GSAS. Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, USA.

March, A. (1932). Z. Kristallogr. 81, 285-297.

PANalytical, B. V. (2003). X'pert Data collector. Version 1.0. PANalytical BV, Almelo, The Netherlands.

Poisson, S., d'Yvoire, F., Bretey, E. & Berthet, P. (1998). J. Solid State Chem. 138. 32-40.

Thompson, P., Cox, D. E. & Hastings, J. B. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 79-83. Toby, B. H. (2001). J. Appl. Cryst. 34, 210-213.